Inventors: Hart et al. PATENT APPLICATION
Application Serial No.: 10/673,351 Attorney Docket No.:NC 84,517

REMARKS

INTRODUCTION

In the Office Action, the Examiner notes that claims 1-18 are pending in the application, and that claims 1-18 are rejected. By this Amendment, no claim has been added, claims 11, 13, and 17 have been amended, and claims 12, 14, 16 and 18 have been canceled. It is believed that no new matter has been entered by way of the amended claims. Basis for the amendments can be found, for example, at page 16, lines 19 – page 17, line 1. Thus, claims 11, 13, 15, and 17 are now pending in the application.

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C 103(a)

Claims 11-18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,495,105 to Nishimura et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,585,939 to Dapprich.

Amended independent claims 11, 13, and 17 define, in combination with the remaining respective recitations, "a silica window abutting said light input part and directing the input light to travel in said second direction through a specific portion of said fluid pathway." Applicants determined that state of the art processing at the time of invention did not permit the construction of flat-exterior-surfaced pathways such as shown stylistically in Figure 2 of Nishimura et al. Applicants have determined that the inability to construct such flat exterior surfaces in the real world causes input light to scatter upon reaching the fluid pathway. Scattering light, of course, has little or no optical separation or trapping ability. So, a benefit of the recited feature is illustrated, for example, in Figures 9a and 9b and explained at page 21, line 16 – page 22, line 3 with reference to. Figures 9a and 9b show beam distortion with and without the recited silica

4

Inventors: Hart et al.

Application Serial No.: 10/673,351

PATENT APPLICATION Attorney Docket No.:NC 84,517

window. Reduced beam distortion with the silica window enhances the optical trapping capability of the instant invention.

It is respectfully submitted that neither Nishimura et al. nor Dapprich makes any mention of such a feature, let alone such a feature in combination with the other recited features of the instant invention.

According it is respectfully submitted that the rejection of claims 11-18 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishimura et al. in view of Dapprich has been overcome. Reconsideration of the rejections and withdrawal thereof are respectfully requested.

5

Inventors: Hart et al.

Application Serial No.: 10/673,351

PATENT APPLICATION Attorney Docket No.: NC 84,517

CONCLUSION

In view of the present Amendment, therefore, it is believed that this application has been

placed in condition for allowance. Allowance is accordingly respectfully requested.

AUTHORIZATION

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be

required for this Amendment, or credit any overpayment to deposit account no. 50-0281.

In the event that an extension of time is required or which may be required in addition to

that requested in a petition for an extension of time, the Commissioner is requested to grant a

petition for that extension of time which is required to make this response timely and is hereby

authorized to charge any fee for such an extension of time or credit any overpayment for an

extension of time to deposit account no. 50-0281.

Respectfully submitted,

Tured Joshy Suresh Koshy

Reg. No. 42,761

Attorney for Applicants

22 May 2008

Naval Research Laboratory

Code 1008.2

4555 Overlook Avenue, SW

Washington. DC 20375-5325

202-767-0302

6